

**VILLAGE OF RED HOOK
PLANNING BOARD MEETING
September 14, 2023**

Present: Co-Chair Jim Rogers; Member Steve Zacharzuk, Member David Pearson and Member David Markusen-Weiss

Co-Chair Rogers opened the September 14, 2023 Planning Board Meeting at 7:00pm with the Pledge of Allegiance.

Co-Chair Rogers and members announced their names and that there was a quorum for this evening's meeting.

Co-Chair Rogers asked members if they reviewed the August 10, 2023 planning board minutes. Board members replied yes and had no comments.

Co-Chair Rogers made a motion to approve the minutes of the August 10, 2023 Planning Board meeting. Motion seconded by Member Markusen-Weiss. All in favor. Motion approved.

Agenda Item #1.

Red Hook Community Center
59 Fisk Street
Tax Parcel ID# 6272-11-598636

Co-Chair Rogers announced that the application is still reviewing ZBA options, and the application will be tabled to October 12, 2023.

Member Zacharzuk motioned table the site plan application for 59 Fisk Street listed under Tax Parcel ID 6272-11-598636 to October 12, 2023 at 6:30pm. Motion seconded by Member Markusen-Weiss. All in favor. Motion approved.

Co-Chair Rogers said applicant for Agenda #1 was not present and we will move forward with Agenda #3.

Agenda Item #3 (moved to #2)

Marie Welch – for St. Paul's Lutheran Church
7412 South Broadway
Tax Parcel ID# 6272-10-395562

Present: Marie Welch, L.S.
Rich Olson, Village of Red Hook Attorney

Co-Chair Rogers announced that applicant is present this evening to propose a minor subdivision for lands on South Broadway owned by St. Paul's Lutheran Church which would create a separate parcel for the building known as the parsonage.

Marie Welch approached and advised they are proposing to create a new lot so that the Church has the option, in the future, should they decide to sell it but no plan right now to sell.

Mrs. Welch advised they were trying to create a separate parcel for the parsonage building which she believes is 15,000 square feet with existing sewer and water so they are looking to schedule a public hearing and get subdivision approval.

Co-Chair Rogers asked what is the reason for doing this. Marie Welch advised right it is just for planning purposes and for the church to have the option to sell.

Co-Chair Rogers asked if it was the building closest to Fisk Street. Mrs. Welch said no it is the building between that building and the church.

Co-Chair Rogers advised the next step would be for this Board to accept the application as complete.

Co-Chair Rogers made a motion to accept the minor subdivision Application for 7412 South Broadway listed under Tax Parcel ID 6272-10-495562 as complete. Motion seconded by Member Zacharzuk. All in favor. Motion approved.

Co-Chair Rogers advised this Board will need to classify under SEQR.

Attorney Olson advised that the Board could do SEQR this evening or the night of the public hearing but cannot make a decision without SEQR being completed.

Co-Chair Rogers and the Board agreed to complete SEQR this evening.

Co-Chair Rogers asked why they submitted a long form EAF. Mrs. Welch advised because they are on the historic registry and the library and church are eligible for historic register. Attorney Olson advised that the applicant could use the long form if they want.

Co-Chair Rogers proceeded with completing PART II of the long form EAF. Copies of EAF are on file with the building department.

Member Markusen-Weiss made a motion to classify the Minor Subdivision Application for 7412 South Broadway listed under Tax Parcel ID 6272-10-495562 as an unlisted action. Motion seconded by Member Zacharzuk. All in favor. Motion approved.

Co-Chair Rogers proceeded with PART III of the long form EAF and advised upon review the information record on this EAF, as noted, plus this additional support information, that this project will result in no significant adverse impacts on the environment, and, therefore, an environmental impact statement not be prepared. Accordingly, this negative declaration is issued. Chair Rogers signed PART III of the EAF dated September 14, 2023.

Co-Chair Rogers advised that this Board must hold a public hearing.

Member Markusen-Weiss made a motion to set a public hearing for the minor subdivision application for 7412 South Broadway listed under Tax Parcel ID 10-495562 to October 12, 2023 at 6:30pm. Motion seconded by Member Zacharzuk. All in favor. Motion approved.

Member Zacharzuk made a motion to table the minor subdivision application for 7412 South Broadway listed under Tax Parcel ID 10-495562 to October 12, 2023 at 6:30pm. Motion seconded by Member Markusen-Weiss. All in favor. Motion approved.

Agenda Item #2 (moved to #3)

Catherine Ackert

60 East Market Street & 62 East Market Street

Tax Parcel ID 6272-11-567659 & 6272-11-567686

Owner: 60 & 62 East Market Street, LLC (owner's consent on file)

Ms. Ackert came forward to present and advised the Board that the front building is a small brick building consisting of 320 square feet and she is proposing to use as office space for her business Hudson Valley Estate Management and on that same parcel is a back barn that is more of a metal garage which she is proposing to use as storage and advised as to what was on the 62 East Market Street parcel which has another pole barn/garage on it which was previously occupied by the owner of Watertight Plumbing. Mrs. Ackert said she was told that since it has been vacant she would need site plan approval. Mrs. Ackert said this was zoned under Business District. Mrs. Ackert said looking at the site plan it is a mix of both parcels and wants to do the right thing. Mrs. Ackert advised that the front office building is on the new Village sewer system and they will have to hook up to Village water and will have to assume as the tenant. Mrs. Ackert advised that the owner opened a building permit 6 years ago for the front building for when she was proposing to have a deli in that same building.

Member Pearson asked about the other garage and Mrs. Ackert said they wanted to use both for storage. Mrs. Ackert advised they need a home hub to store all their supplies for access to contractors.

Member Markusen Weiss asked if she was proposing to use both the garages as well as the front building. Mrs. Ackert said correct. Member Markusen-Weiss asked what the current use was for the front building. Mrs. Ackert said it had an open building permit prior to Mr. Stehling passing away.

Mrs. Ackert said she was told that the back house has its own well and septic. Mrs. Ackert said she believes that both the garages have no water or septic but she only needs for storage.

Mrs. Ackert said they start their day at 6am and end 6pm and that it would be a landing hub and not a lot of traffic in and out.

Co-Chair Rogers said the applicant was originally proposing use of a second barn on a separate parcel at 62 East Market Street but has withdrawn that proposal after determination by the Zoning Enforcement Officer that it was not an allowable use due to not having a principal use. A memo was prepared by the Code Enforcement Officer and provided to all Board members.

Co-Chair Rogers said this property was located in the Gateway Business District and that the applicant is now proposing to use the building in the front as office space and the one barn on that parcel for storage. Mrs. Ackert said correct and can deal with the second one later, but just needs space now.

Mrs. Ackert said in speaking with the owner she may entertain changing to all one parcel. Member Pearson asked about making it one parcel. Mrs. Ackert said the owner might make that change to help with income.

Member Zacharzuk asked which garage. Mrs. Ackert said the one at 60 East Market Street. Member Zacharzuk said ok and that the barn at 62 would not be used. Mrs. Ackert said not at this point but will come back at some point for that as they grow.

Member Markusen-Weiss said you mentioned in your proposal site signage but there is not proposal. Mrs. Ackert said she proposed what the Village would allow and what was noted. Applicant was advised that it was not on the site plan but could be a part of the site plan but would need to show the proposed sign as far as dimensions, materials, location, size.

Co-Chair Rogers said this Board will go through what is needed to be considered a complete application.

Member Zacharzuk said the memo from the building inspection indicated that there was no signage proposal included.

Member Markusen-Weiss advised signage and existing lighting will need to be on the plans. Mrs. Ackert said they would be using existing lighting on the buildings and probably update to energy efficient.

Mrs. Ackert said there was a high-hat on the peak of the barn and in the front there is a sconce at the left side of the door. Member Pearson said plans should identify what lighting is there. Mrs. Ackert asked by photo. Member Markusen-Weiss said to just put on the site plan with a table indicating what it is.

Member Markusen-Weiss asked about parking and that the plans needed to show parking and a parking table. Member Zacharzuk asked where the parking was.

Mrs. Ackert said the barn has the ability to park 4 vehicles and the front small office space in between the award shop and other barn could accommodate 6 vehicles but that they only need space for 3 vehicles. Member Markusen-Weiss said the plans need to show parking with a zoning table. Mrs. Ackert asked how she would get that. Secretary Hart advised applicant to speak with Mr. Decker who prepared her site plan.

Member Zacharzuk asked if the parking lot would need lighting. Mrs. Ackert said the barn is there so you would have lighting from the peak of the barn. Chair Rogers asked Board members if she would need additional lighting for the parking. Mrs. Ackert said both barns, even the front barn which is the shared co-op parking space for the award shop and the red building, which has 6 spots, the award shop only uses one of those which leaves 3 for them, has lighting and the back of the award shop has motion-censored lighting and the back of the barn by the peak has a light and the sides have motion censored lights. Chair Rogers said when you return after speaking with Mr. Decker the site plan must show lighting, signage and parking and he can tell you whether additional lighting will be required.

Co-Chair Rogers asked if they were proposing any landscaping. Mrs. Ackert said no but with their lease they will be maintaining the property.

Member Markusen-Weiss asked the Board if there was anything else they would like to see on the site plan. Member Zacharzuk asked where the office building was on the plans. Member Zacharzuk asked to note on the plans "office building" and "barn". Mrs. Ackert said she is working on 60 East Market Street now.

Mrs. Ackert asked if Chris Stehling ever closed out the original permit. Secretary Hart advised no and that it was considered withdrawn. Mrs. Ackert advised she still needs to upgrade the electric and asked if that is something she can do now or will have to wait. Secretary Hart advised that was probably better to get site plan approval first, but up to her. Mrs. Ackert said plumbing is in but nothing set but needs new electrical panel. Secretary Hart said it was her decision to move forward without site plan approval. Mrs. Ackert asked about the time frame for the building permit. Secretary Hart said she would need to get a building permit, have inspections, get a third party electrical inspection, etc. Mrs. Ackert asked if that had to be presented to this Board. Secretary Hart said that is done through the building department and not part of site plan. Co-Chair Rogers said it is a risk, but you don't have to wait.

Co-Chair Rogers advised that this application is not complete, which needs to include signage, lighting and parking with a zoning table.

Mrs. Ackert asked if there were any rules for lighting. Secretary Hart advised application to review Village Zoning under ecode360 and to call the office with any questions.

Member Zacharzuk said he would also like to see more clarification on the site plan for the parcels. Secretary Hart advised it may be better to just remove 62 East Market Street from the plans and show only 60 East Market Street. Mrs. Ackert asked if this Board wants it labeled as a barn or garage. Member Zacharzuk said he wants all the plans to agree with one another.

Member Pearson asked about a well on the site plan that is within the Village water district.

Mrs. Ackert mentioned something about the Town Assessor not knowing that the front brick building existed and was not listed on parcel access. Secretary Hart advised she spoke with the Town Assessor. Secretary Hart advised that parcel access shows the building in the rear as a garage.

Member Pearson said to check on the well and that it might have to be abandoned. Mrs. Ackert said she will do that.

Co-Chair Rogers said that will need to be addressed for the next site plan submission whether the rental has a private well or on Village water. Mrs. Ackert said she will check on this. Secretary Hart said she will check with the Village Clerk to see if a water bill is being sent for 60 East Market Street.

Co-Chair Rogers said issues for next month were covered.

Co-Chair Rogers made a motion to table the site plan application for 60 East Market Street listed under Tax Parcel ID 6272-11-567659 to October 12, 2023 at 6:30pm. Motion seconded by Member Markusen-Weiss. All in favor. Motion approved.

Agenda Item #4

Town of Red Hook – Theodore Cookingham
Lot Line / Minor Subdivision
Cookingham Property West
Village Tax Parcel IDs# 62072-07-564993 & 07-549960

Present:

Mark Graminski, P.E., L.S.

Robert McKeon, Town Supervisor

Rich Olson, Village of Red Hook Attorney

Mark Graminski came forward and advised he represents the Town of Red Hook for an application for a minor subdivision/lot line for a parcel of land that this Board is familiar with from a previous application and advised that the Cookingham Family had land on both the east and west side of Route 9 and in 2022 an application was made to split the east side, which had been completed by this Board and easements being placed on that property on the east side.

Mr. Graminski said they are present this evening for a minor subdivision/lot line for the west side of the parcel on Route 9 and parcel on behalf of Theo Cookingham.

Mr. Graminski presented a colored coded plan for clarity and for the Board to review the various parcel configurations.

Mr. Graminski advised that prior to this application it was presented to the Town of Red Hook Planning Board because it includes both Town and Village.

Mr. Graminski advised the rationale of developing these various parcels is based on the conservation easement that has already been placed on this property and has been filed with the Dutchess County Clerk along with a conservation easement document that is currently being held by Dutchess Land Conservancy. Mr. Graminski showed a copy of the filed map. Mr. Graminski provided colors in order to delineate the parcels and how they fall under the easement document that has been filed along with this piece of property.

Mr. Graminski went over configurations with the Board.

Mr. Graminski explained in developing the easements was basically to preserve agriculture on the property.

Mr. Graminski explained part of the lot line was for Theo Cookingham's property.

Member Pearson asked about parcels with frontage. Mr. Graminski showed the parcels with frontage.

Co-Chair Rogers asked about Theo Cookingham's property (parcel 4). Mr. Graminski advised that is under the ownership of the Town and that Supervisor McKeon is present this evening to answer any questions.

Co-Chair Rogers if the yellow-colored parcel was under the ownership of the Town and not under an easement. Mr. Graminski said correct.

Co-Chair Rogers said the yellow piece, bright pink piece and tan piece are not under easement and asked what are the plans for those pieces.

Supervisor McKeon thanked the Board for their time and asked if the Board has heard of the many public purposes for the Town acquiring these properties to protect the important agricultural soils, scenic qualities and so on, and they hope to correct things that happen in the past, one being that Abraham's park pathway encroached on the Cookingham lands quite significantly so they removed that from the conservation easement and intend to convey all of the piece in yellow to the Village because the Village has also, through the Mayor's office, expressed interest of having an alternate site for wells if needed someday. Supervisor McKeon showed the cutout in that area.

Supervisor McKeon said the Town of Red Hook would like to retain ownership of Willowbrook Lane as it is the access to their water treatment facility and the Village has a water main alongside Willowbrook Lane, which they found out had no easement, so they with their attorney, are writing an easement for that, as well as a utility easement to connect down to Willowbrook.

Supervisor McKeon pointed out the parcels being conveyed to the Village and being retained by the Town- which they will be studying for solar. Supervisor McKeon discussed other parcels which the Town will retain due to them having insufficient buffer for their water treatment facility and will have an easement that will allow the farmer to access water for irrigation purposes. Supervisor McKeon said tonight's purpose is to have the lot line so they can very quickly convey parcels to the Village, convey/sell parcels to a farmer and retain ownership of parcels as shown.

Co-Chair Rogers asked about salmon color parcel. Supervisor McKeon said that is part of the farm and under conservation easement and the Town is taking ownership of Theo Cookingham's house lot, which was part of the agreement and will be done next month.

Member Pearson asked if he would continue to live there. Supervisor McKeon said he will continue to live there until next month.

Supervisor McKeon thanked the Cookingham Family who have been here for generations and have done wonderful things for our community.

Supervisor McKeon said the plans are trails of which will connect; ultimately hoping to construct a bicycle bridge to connect the trails from Abrahams Park to the Rec Park, which will make for a wonderful way for people to walk back and forth, park at the Rec Park and access trails.

Co-Chair Rogers asked Mr. Graminski what are we doing tonight, just adjusting lines for frontage to convey the parcel that is yellow. Mr. Graminski said correct and this is an application for a lot line alteration and minor subdivision.

Co-Chair Rogers asked with regards to studying the parcel that is tan for possible solar installation can you tell us how far into an exploration you are. Supervisor McKeon said they have not taken one step and it requires CESAR study and interconnection (referencing the first solar project from 2019) and that they will look at the branch to see if it will support and that all of that is in the Town and not the Village. Supervisor McKeon said it is important for them to maintain ownership and maintained property due to access to their water treatment facility.

Member Markusen-Weiss asked if there was an easement for the Gurland property. Mr. Graminski said yes so they will have access from Willowbrook Lane and it is a landlocked piece of property. Supervisor McKeon said and for access for the farmer as well. Member Markusen-Weiss said so there is a bunch of easements on there. Supervisor McKeon said once they have ownership they will be able to give the Village an easement for the water main which currently does not exist.

Member Markusen-Weiss and Member Rogers confirmed the Town will maintain Willowbrook Lane and will convey the easement for the Village's water main. Supervisor McKeon said yes and the main reason for the buffer area (as shown) to ensure there was a spot to be drilled with a 200-foot buffer around it, at the request of the Mayor, to ensure that should the village desire, they could connect down at Willowbrook.

Member Markusen-Weiss asked what the Town's plan was for Parcel 6. Mr. Graminski said that was Theo's piece. Supervisor McKeon said it is just to re-sell.

Member Pearson asked about the potential easement to connect a new water well for the Village could that also be a trail connecting with Abrahams Park. Supervisor McKeon said yes, and the whole purpose to create trails on this property and includes a connection to go to Abrahams down to Willowbrook and turn, hoping to extend to the Rec Park for just pedestrians and non-motorized bicycles.

Member Markusen-Weiss asked assuming before this being sold, Parcel 1, an easement will be in place for these trails. Supervisor McKeon said no there is already an easement in place. Co-Chair Rogers asked if that was the one that connects Abrahams Park. Supervisor McKeon said yes.

Member Zacharzuk asked about Cookingham's house and if they are taking possession of it next month. Supervisor McKeon said yes, and they are taking possession next month and market it almost immediately.

Member Markusen-Weiss asked what they currently own. Supervisor McKeon said they own it all except for the house parcel.

Member Markusen-Weiss asked if they are also moving the lot line on parcel 6. Mr. Graminski said correct because they needed frontage so created 50 feet of frontage on Route 9. Mr. Graminski said this was originally 30 feet wide so now made it 40 feet – so technically all that

had to be done, due to being in the Village and the Village only requires 40 feet he made it 50 feet in anticipation for future if the Town wanted to put a NYSDOT approved entrance on Route 9. Supervisor McKeon said and for better site distance and said they could have gone the ODA route which requires no frontage but felt it was easiest to do this.

Member Zacharzuk asked where the farm was. McKeon pointed out the farm parcels (dark brown and salmon colored). Member Zacharzuk asked if they were selling to a farmer. Supervisor McKeon said yes. Member Zacharzuk asked when. Supervisor McKeon said as soon as they get approvals and do the subdivision they are marketing and issuing an RFP for the east side. Member Zacharzuk said his concern is that all this property will be off the Village tax roll. Supervisor McKeon said it is momentary but believes the Town pays taxes on these parcels and just paid them. Supervisor McKeon explained the sooner they get the lot line; the sooner they market it; the sooner a farmer buys and the sooner the Village gets taxes on it.

Member Zacharzuk asked about the light brown parcel and how far down recessed it is because of possible solar. Supervisor McKeon said they want to explore but wouldn't go so far as to say they want to do it, but explore, and once they find out what the potential interconnection costs it may not pencil out. Supervisor McKeon spoke on how successful the past solar project was and their objective is to find 5 or 6 appropriately sited solar locations and goal to have enough sites to ensure resident who wants to join has that opportunity.

Member Zacharzuk asked in connecting to the Rec Park you will build a bridge across the Sawkill. Supervisor McKeon said that is the goal and will have to apply for a DEC permit. Supervisor McKeon said in answer to how recessed is it – quite far down so thinks it is quite possible for solar.

Co-Chair Rogers asked if the total acreage that we are talking about is 139 and then 96+/- acres are covered by the easement. Supervisor McKeon said those numbers are not right. Mr. Graminski said the easement that was filed is 72.206 but original Cookingham Property was 109 and change for both sides – so obviously we have that, and Theo's property is 4 ½ acres so it was around 109 acres total, and if you include Rec Park piece, which is 30.2 – Supervisor McKeon said so yes that makes sense which brings to 139. Mr. Graminski apologized and said they forgot they were adding the 30 acres from the Rec Park.

Co-Chairs Rogers said this Board discussed what pieces are not going into the conservation easements: water shed, property considered for solar, the Cookingham house and the parcel being deeded over to the Village.

Mr. Graminski said the piece to the Village can also be by lot line alteration because it can be combined with existing piece. Co-Chair Rogers asked if that was before us now. Mr. Graminski said it is not, and just to create that parcel.

Co-Chair Rogers said that they don't need to return to this Board because it was already done. Supervisor McKeon said right, and it is his understanding, and Village counsel is here this evening to answer questions, but his understanding is that there was some desire to do some research about what happens when you merge a parcel without encumbrances on it with a park dedicated parcel and what does that mean or not mean before going ahead and considering merging, and maybe the Village won't want it merged, but at that point it will belong to the Village of Red Hook and the Village will be able to do whatever they wish with that parcel. Supervisor McKeon asked Village counsel if that was fair to say.

Attorney Olson advised that the Mayor is looking at that separate parcel right now so it's just to get the lot line adjustment at this point is all they are looking for.

Co-Chair Rogers asked how many acres the yellow piece was. Mr. Graminski said 12.802.

Member Pearson asked since part of the yellow is in the Town will the Village grow a little bit. Mr. Graminski said no because the Village will own a piece in the Town.

Co-Chair Rogers said there will be a public hearing on this application.

Co-Chair Rogers asked the Board for any further questions before this Board moves to accept this application as complete. No comments.

Chair Rogers made a motion to accept the lot line/minor subdivision application for property known as the Cookingham Property West with Village Parcels listed under Tax Parcel ID 6272-07-564993 and Parcel 6272-07-549960 submitted by Mark Graminski, P.E., L.S. dated September 1, 2023 as complete. Motion seconded by Member Zacharzuk. All in favor. Motion approved.

Member Rogers said there is an option regarding SEQR that this Board can consent to the Town of Red Hook acting as Lead Agency for this subdivision. Co-Chair Rogers said this Board would have to vote on that this evening.

Co-Chair Rogers asked Village Attorney Olson if this is common acceptable practice. Attorney Olson said this is a coordinated review between the Town and the Village, because you both have an action that you have to take and in this case they circulated between the involved agencies and you are an involved agency so you have to give the lot line/subdivision approval and the Town if asking that you consent to them being the lead agency and given the fact that this essentially is a Town Plan and a majority of the property is in the Town they have gone through and completed the conservation easements and the property is locked up so simply a matter of form.

Member Markusen-Weiss asked if there could be only one lead. Attorney Olson said right there can only be one lead agency.

Co-Chair Rogers asked the Board for any other questions.

Member Markusen-Weiss felt it seemed a little weird to be the lead agency over the property that you own or a conflict of interest. Attorney Olson said they are involved agencies and you will have input and the Town is just simply saying we are the ones that have the most knowledge of this action, being that they negotiated easements and have the master plan as to how this will be developed so they have the most knowledge on the environmental issues.

Co-Chair Rogers asked if the vast majority of the lands being considered in the SEQR is owned by the Town. Attorney Olson said yes, and they own it all.

Co-Chair Rogers made a motion to consent to the Town of Red Hook acting as Lead Agency for the lot line/minor subdivision application for property known as Cookingham West with Village Parcels listed under Tax Parcel ID 6272-07-564993 and Parcel 6272-07-549960 submitted by Mark Graminski, P.E., L.S. dated September 1, 2023, with the Village of Red Hook to continue being notified of SEQR determination, proceedings and hearings in this matter. Motion seconded by Member Pearson. All in favor. Motion approved.

Co-Chair Rogers completed and signed the RESPONSE TO REQUEST THAT THE ABOVE NAME AGENCY SERVE AS LEAD AGENCY REGARDING THE ABOVE ACTION, submitted and prepared by the Town of Red Hook.

Member Markusen-Weiss asked that because we are an involved party, we will still review any potential SEQR thing that came under the Village side of this. Attorney Olson said no, the determination will be made by the Town and the Village will have a right to input in the process, so will follow through with the Town.

Co-Chair Jim Rogers advised they can set a public hearing but cannot make any final decision on this application until which time the Town completes SEQR.

Co-Chair Rogers asked if this will be done before their next meeting to be held on October 12th.

Mr. Graminski advised they have a meeting with the Town Planning Board on September 18th, which meets twice a month, so if satisfactory to the Town and they proceed with a public hearing it could be scheduled for the 1st meeting in October so then the Town could potentially act on SEQR in October which would be prior to the meeting of this Board.

Attorney Olson advised as long as they make a determination, but they can start the public hearing but cannot make a final determination until SEQR is complete. Mr. Graminski said so maybe there is an opportunity that the Town acts on SEQR prior to this Board's next meeting.

Co-Chair Rogers made a motion to set a public hearing for the lot line/minor subdivision application for property known as Cookingham West with Village Parcels listed under Tax Parcel ID 6272-07-564993 and Parcel 6272-07-549960 submitted by Mark Graminski, P.E., L.S.

dated September 1, 2023, to October 12, 2023 at 6:30pm. Motion seconded by Member Markusen-Weiss. All in favor. Motion approved.

Co-Chair Rogers made a motion to table the lot line/minor subdivision application for property known as Cookingham West with Village Parcels listed under Tax Parcel ID 6272-07-564993 and Parcel 6272-07-549960 submitted by Mark Graminski, P.E., L.S. dated September 1, 2023, to October 12, 2023 at 6:30pm. Motion seconded by Member Markusen-Weiss. All in favor.

Co-Chair Rogers made a motion to adjourn the September 14, 2023 Planning Board meeting at 8:24pm. Motion seconded by Member Markusen-Weiss. All in favor. Motion approved.

Lara Hart
Secretary
